

## Document Log Item

<b>Addressing</b>	
<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>
Adam Freedman/R9/USEPA/US	wayne.hamilton@shell.com Wayne Hamilton
<b>CC</b>	<b>BCC</b>
<b>Description</b> Form Used: Memo	
<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date/Time</b>
Permit Question Follow-up	11/04/2009 11:25 AM
<b># of Attachments</b>	<b>Total Bytes</b>
0	2,167
<b>NPM</b>	<b>Contributor</b>
<b>Processing</b>	
<b>Comments</b>	

Body

## Document Body

Wayne,

I have one more question right now in line with the other questions on which you were going to follow up. I note in Figure M-1 of the application that the Anderson Sandstone is the target injection zone and that there will be perforations in the casing in that zone. However, if the Anderson Sandstone does not meet project requirements, does C6 intend to cement these perforations shut and shoot new perforations in different zones? What is the general order of proposed workover operations in the event that the Anderson formation will not suffice? Thank you very much.

Adam Freedman  
Environmental Scientist, Underground Injection Control  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9  
75 Hawthorne Street (WTR-9)  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901  
415.972.3845  
freedman.adam@epa.gov

